

AI AS A POLICY CONSULTANT:

Risks and Rewards of Machine-Led Governance and Decision-Making

SANKALP KHARE | B.A. (H) POLITICAL SCIENCE (III Year) | DESHBANDHU COLLEGE

Email ID: sankalp.work004@gmail.com

AS Sundar Pichai (CEO of Google) once said, “AI needs to be regulated in a way that balances innovation and potential harms”. Implementing Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in governance and public policy is rising in contemporary political frameworks. Different nations across the globe are adopting AI systems to improve efficiency in decision-making, as well as to address issues of a complicated social and economic nature. The transition to machine-led governance is taking place, which is evident in predictive analytics in healthcare and AI economic forecasting. Nonetheless, AI also has alarming risks that come along with – ethical issues in consulting policies, biased AI systems, accountability gaps, and democracy at stake. There is an unparalleled need for prompt attention and evidence-backed decision-making from governments and policymakers. AI-powered tools and systems can analyze and process massive quantities of data, identify patterns, and recommend policies far quicker than analysts can. Historical data can be analyzed and processed using machine learning algorithms to predict future policy outcomes and provide novel ideas to resolve deep-rooted governance issues.

PolicyLab, a prototype system intended to model the social and economic ramifications of the proposed legislature, was introduced by the European Union in 2023. The PolicyLab instrument would assist legislators in estimating the net effect of a proposed law regarding job opportunities, health care, carbon emission, and other factors. While it was praised as groundbreaking, some critics

asked what a fully automated system for governance means from a socio-ethical viewpoint. This remains part of a larger discourse concerning the use of AI in policy analysis. Is there room for improvement, or is there something more disquieting at play?

AI integration into government practices is moving rather quickly based on observable trends. A report by WorldMetrics states that 68% of governmental institutions are investing in AI and have, at some point since 2021, considered integrating AI into their organizational operations. The situation is grim since, practically speaking, such a scheme has never held prioritized attention, yet 55% of surveyed people consider AI a priority for the digital transformation of their country.

Challenges and Risks Correlated with AI-Driven Governance

An AI system lacks a moral code and ethical conduct. Unlike a human policymaker, AI does not think about cultural, emotional, and humanitarian aspects related to management. For instance, an AI-based healthcare policy might focus only on financial benefits, rather than patient-centered care, which will lead to less-than-ideal ethical resolutions. Similarly, the AI approach to military tactics may calculate the most efficient way to wage war without humanistic regard for suffering, which raises grave ethical issues.

As AI and machine learning systems grow and refine their capacities and functionalities, systems also become entry points

for cyber threats and manipulation. Hackers can subvert AI systems designed for decision-making to influence policies, disrupt services to citizens, or even leak confidential information. Also, systems can be manipulated by feeding AI false information and will end up creating flawed models to provide flawed recommended policies. Without strong cybersecurity, governance with the aid of AI systems will open the door to electronic warfare and cybercrime.

The embedded biases in AI systems originate from the data on which they were trained. To put it differently, if the data is biased to some degree, the model will learn to reinforce those biases. This ends up creating discriminatory policies in social welfare, law enforcement, or even recruitment. A good illustration is how predictive policing performs. It has been criticized for relying upon crime data that is historically biased and therefore harms marginalized populations. The same applies to AI-enabled recruitment and approvals of loans where biases based on gender or race can lead to systemic discrimination.

Convenience and Advantages of AI in Governance and Strategic Decision-Making

The practice of policymaking is characterized by copious amounts of human error and bias, prejudice, inefficiency, and even corruption. This is where AI systems excel. They remove discrimination and offer impartiality that humans simply cannot. This means there will be fewer blunders in planning, governance, and even budget spending. With automation, there is less human involvement in the distribution of welfare and public services, and in procurement processes, which reduces the opportunity for corrupt practices and improves reliability. Unlike human policymakers, AI systems have access to vast amounts of historical

data that enhances decision-making and improves efficiency. Unlike human AI, decision-makers have no instincts to guide them as they formulate relevant policy suggestions, eliminating the need to play politics and just focus on the reality of things.

AI-managed systems can enable looking ahead at the economic outlook, changing environments, and social events anticipated over the period, eliminating the gaps governments are known to succumb to. Predictive analysis, as well as anticipating the results of policy revisions alongside identifying prospective complications and effective fund allocation, can prove to be especially beneficial as well.

While the conventional approaches to policymaking require a lot of groundwork such as conducting primary and desk research, interviewing experts, or getting drawn into the bureaucratic web, AI takes care of all of these tasks, enabling faster decision-making. This comes in particularly handy in crises, for example in the case of natural disasters, economic recessions, or pandemics, real-time monitoring and analysis can enable governments to react quickly.



Steering the Future of AI: Achieving Equilibrium in Unbounded Governance

AI has the potential to transform governance by increasing efficiency, improving AI's decision-making capabilities, and evidence-based policymaking.

However, the impact of AI governance such as bias, lack of accountability, democracy, and cybersecurity risks has to be appreciated. Govern-

ments have to be extremely careful in making sure that they are using AI and that human values, ethics, and democratic principles are positioned at the forefront of governance. AI should be viewed as a powerful aide

for policymaking and not as the one in control of human judgment and democratic control. Once implemented responsibly, there is no doubt that AI can greatly assist in creating more responsive, smarter, and fairer governance systems in the future.

AI should function as an advisor and not a decision-maker. Despite being of help, AI should still be supervised by human policymakers. The implementation of the democratic, ethical, and social AI standards can only be guaranteed through the intervention of humans. Trust in AI governance

should be improved by enhancing transparency and civic engagement. There must be citizens' deliberative sessions on AI policy, tell the public the place of AI in governance, and take the government's AI decisions out of the black box. This way, there would be no fear of a technocratic government and guarantee that AI would serve democracy.

Finally, a partnership among governments, tech businesses, and civic organizations is necessary to ensure that AI is built with the primary concern for the public rather than a company or political agenda. AI can be made a positive force in policy-making through the use of open-source models, independent scrutiny, and international treaties on AI governance that ensure the positive engagement between Humans and modern-day innovations like Artificial Intelligence.

